

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
 09/644,377	08/23/2000	Marco Di Benedetto	112025-0201	1520	
24267	7590 . 11/12/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ND MCKENNA, LLP FALCON AVENUE		NGUYEN, HANH N		
BOSTON, MA		• .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2662		
	- man a sign	DATE MAILED: 11/12/200	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
ı	09/644,377	BENEDETTO ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hanh Nguyen	2662	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted that the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will be set or extended pe	.136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on App	olication filed on 8/23/00.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 26-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/are 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to objected to objected to object of objection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a listed 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document application from the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a listed 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document application from the priority document app	ats have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been used (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies notice priority under 35 U.S. Instruction sentence of the special rovisional application has tice priority under 35 U.S. Its priority under 35 U.S. Its priority under 35 U.S. Instruction in the special sentence of	Application No en received in this National ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not of Informal Patent Application (PTO	

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract is more than 150 word long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5, 8-11, 14, 15, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, it is not clear whether "the storing spanning tree port states at the ports" are performed at the standby supervisor.

In claim 24, it is not clearly stated, on line 17, what the "port state information at the ports" is referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2662

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 12, 13, 16-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Budhraja et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,487,591 B1) in view of **Smith** (US Pat. NO. 6,515,969 B1).

In claims 1, 2 and 16-20 and 23 **Budhraja et al.** discloses, in Fig.2, a switch 16 (a root) that designates MCS A 26 as a main controller (active supervisor) and MSC B 26' as a standby controller (standby supervisor). See col.3, lines 50-55. When there is a failure, the main controller 26 (active supervisor) switchovers to become a standby controller (standby supervisor) and the standby controller 26' becomes a new active controller such as the redundancy subsystem 60 (active engine of the active supervisor) whose functions can be passed off to redundant controller 26' (in response to a failure, the active supervisor switchovers to standby supervisor, standby supervisor switchovers to active supervisor). See col.3, lines 10-20 & lines 50-55. The standby controller 26' (standby supervisor) stores active address of main controller 26 in the second memory location; and to store address of standby controller 26' in the first memory location. (storing spanning tree states of ports at the standby supervisor) in order to swap active status and standby status between the controller 26 and controller 26'. See col.4, lines 25-40. Budhraja et al. does not disclose each supervisor including at least one spanning tree protocol engine; running spanning tree protocol; and directing the ports to transition among the port states. Smith discloses an intermediate device 222 (See Fig.3) comprising a plurality of ports (an intermediate device comprising ports for forwarding in a network); a plurality of spanning tree engines 302a-302d, each is associated with a VLAN designation. The spanning

Art Unit: 2662

tree engines transition the ports among a plurality of port states including a forwarding state and block state (directing the ports to transition among the port states). See Abstract & col.7, lines 45-50& line 65 to col.8, line 5. Each spanning tree engine runs a separate tree protocol (running the spanning tree protocol). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skills in the art to use the spanning tree protocol associated with spanning tree engines of Smith into the controllers 26 & 26' of **Budhraja et al.** in order to continue operation of a spanning tree protocol at the switch despite failures or crashes occur. The motivation is to avoid significant network discrruption.

In claims 6 and 12, the limitations of these claims have been addressed in claim 1.

In claim 13, **Budhraja et al.** does not disclose generates BPDU messages; forwarding the BDDU messages; and wherein the BPDU message includes a topology change flag field. **Smith** discloses spanning tree engines 302 communicate with ports 224, 226 to generate BPDU messages (generates BPDU messages) for transmission from the ports (forwarding the BDDU messages). See col.8, lines 8-15. One skill in the art should understand that a TC flag field is in the BPDU message, therefore; examiner does not need to show a prior art to overcome this limitation. It should have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine **Smith** with **Budhraja et al.** to notify the standby supervisor any failures via BPDU message.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Budhraja et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,487,591 B1) in view of **Smith** (US Pat. NO. 6,515,969 B1), and further in view of **Goldman et al.** (US Pat. NO. 6,628,661 B1).

In claim 7, **Budhraja et al.** does not disclose if the network device is not at least one root, generating and forwarding topology change notification message. **Goldman et al.** discloses if a failure has occurred, switch A2 determines whether it has any available port. If not, the

Art Unit: 2662

switch A2 sends out frame to notify other switches of a topology change (if the network device is not at least one root, generating and forwarding topology change notification message). See col.8, lines 22-30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skills in the art to combine the **Goldman et al.** with **Budhraja et al.** to notify other switches that there is not any port available.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In claim 21, the prior art does not disclose a first manager disposed at the active supervisor, the first event manager configured to generate port change events in response to the ports transitioning from a current spanning tree port state to a new spanning tree port state.

Claims 26-29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In claim 26, the prior art does not disclose an event manager at the first and the second supervisors, the event manager configured to transmit spanning tree port state information from the active supervisor to the standby supervisor.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Gai et al. (US Pat. No. 6,032,194) discloses Method and Apparatus for Rapidly

Reconfiguring Computer Networks.

Seaman (US Pat. No. 6,611,502 B1) discloses Spanning Tree with Rapid Propagation of

Topology Changes.

Regan et al. (US Pat. No. 6,578,086 B1) discloses Dynamically Managing the Topology

of a Data Network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703 306-4744. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3988 for regular

communications and 703 308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Fax number: 703 872-9314

Page 6

Art Unit: 2662

Hanh Nguyen

November (0, 2003)

Page 7